UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,657	02/14/2005	Josef Berwanger	04:81	2873
Ronald E Greig	7590 05/27/200 <b>g</b>	EXAMINER		
Greigg & Greig 1423 Powhatan	g	SKRIPNIKOV, ALEX		
Suite One	Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA	. 22314	2416		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/500,657	BERWANGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alex Skripnikov	2416		

	Alex Skripnikov	2416				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ver form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying tl				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ul>	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	•	-			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-12</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  13. Other:						
/KWANG B. YAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/Alex Skripnikov/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416	<b>.</b>				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments to claims 13 and 20 require further search and consideration.